

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

This doon

Lewis L. Otrauss Chairman

J. C. Bugher, M. D., Director Division of Bology and Medicine

INFORMATION RELATIVE TO MAP ON FALLOUT

SYLBOL: BABP:GLD

September 1, 1954

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED BY AUTHORITY OF DOE/OC

CARL WILSON 11-1-84 REVIEWED BY DATE

J.DIAZ 8-7-85 REVIEWED BY DATE

By: Dick KOOGLE 7-17-87

The following information is to clarify the chart on fallout furnished you by the Division of Biology and Medicine.

The fallout pattern from the March 1, 1954 detonation at the Pacific Proving Ground was superimposed on the Mastern Coast of the United States using Mashington as ground zero and intentionally oriented in the direction shown. Micreas this direction of fallout would not be unusual for this part of the country, there are two major factors to be considered in transposing such data:

- (1) The detonation was over an extension of a Pacific island rather than over a city, and
- (2) The wind structure in that part of the Pacific is not identical with the Castern United States.

These factors would not invalidate the transposition but would suggest caution in a rigid interpretation of the numerical radiation doses.

The numbers shown on the map represent the theoretical maximum infinity gamma radiation doses expressed in roentgens. The calculations were based on the assumptions that the fallout material remained in place until its activity had decayed to an insignificant level and that the personnel had remained continuously out-of-doors. The actual expected doses would be something less than the infinity doses and would depend on the loss of activity through weathering, the smielding offered by buildings, and the duration of stay of personnel in the area. Since an actual radiation dose would be a function of these parameters, one instituted and the used for illustration. At Philadelphia an unsitelded population would have received about 500 r during the first day after fallout and an additional 200 r during the next day. This represents approximately and an additional 200 r during the next day. This

Unless there be heavy rainfall or strong winds it would not be expected that the above radiation doses would e significantly reduced

OFFICE DUNNING: MMack. BUCKAS

SURNAME DATE > 9/1/54

BMD

MEDICINE, HEALTH & SAFETY

Form AEC-318 (Rev. 9-53)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-62761

by the process of weathering in the first one to two days. The amount of reduction of radiation doses by shielding of buildings varies widely but the following information may serve as a rough guide.

Location of Personnel in Fhiladelphia	Percentage of Out- of-door Dose Pates	from Exposure During the First Two Days
Out-of-doors	100%	Mortality about 50% All would suffer some degree of illness.
On the first floor of a frame house	50%	Mortality about 20% All would suffer some degree of illness.
Cellar of a frame house	10-20%	Mortality: none 10-20% would suffer some degree of illness.
Within a reinforced concrete multi-story building	1-10%	Mortality: none None or very few would be ill.

Since the above estimates are based on the assumption that the personnel remain in the place indicated for the period of one to two days, they would still be confronted with the choice of remaining in the radiation area or of evacuating the city.

DISTRIBUTION; copies l&2A - addressee

3A - BMBP Reading File
4,5,6A - B&M Files

NEW	LOHED DATE	US DOE ARCHIVES 326 U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
n the store		RG DE Historian DBM. Collection
OFFICE ▶		Box 3365
SURNAME >		Folder /2